August 2007 TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY PAGE 1

2007 DISTRICT ACCOUNTABILITY DATA TABLES - STANDARD PROCEDURES

DISTRICT NAME: TULOSO-MIDWAY District Rating: Academically Acceptable

DISTRICT NUMBER: 178912

Analysis groups used to determine ratings are marked with an 'X'.

Academically Acceptable standards are shown in parentheses.

Grade 8 science results are not included because they are not used in the 2007 accountability system. Special formats ('*', >99%, <1%) are used to protect student confidentiality.

	2007 2006								Required			
		2007 -			2	2006			Improvem	nent -		
	Number		Pct	Stu	Number		Pct	Met				
Performance	Met	Number	Met	${\tt Grp}$	Met	Number	Met	Min	Act		Met	
Results	Std	Taking	Std	%	Std	Taking	Std	Size	Chg	RI	RI?	
Reading/ELA (65	%)											
All Students	1,832	2,027	90%	100%	1,753	1,969	89%		1			
African Amer	22	25	88%	1%	28	32	88%		0			
Hispanic	1,109	1,262	88%	62%	994	1,167	85%		3			
White	686	724	95%	36%	726	762	95%		0			
Econ Disadv	730	851	86%	42%	614	751	82%		4			
Writing (65%)												
All Students	386	423	91%	100%	394	419	94%		-3			
African Amer	*	*	80%	*	*	*	89%		-9			
Hispanic	251	277	91%	65%	231	252	92%		-1			
White	128	138	93%	33%	152	155	98%		-5			
Econ Disadv	166	189	88%	45%	171	190	90%		-2			
Social Studies	(65%)											
All Students	599	649	92%	100%	592	651	91%		1			
African Amer	*	*	90%	*	7	11	64%		26			
Hispanic	312	354	88%	55%	315	355	89%		-1			
White	273	280	98%	43%	267	282	95%		3			
Econ Disadv	173	200	87%	31%	139	170	82%		5			
Mathematics (45	۰,۱											
All Students	1,582	2,013	79%	100%	1,534	1,951	79%		0			
African Amer	1,302	25	76%	1%	23	31	74%		2			
Hispanic	937	1,249	75%	62%	861	1,156	74%		1			
White	612	723	85%	36%	644	757	85%		0			
Econ Disadv	618	843	73%	42%	533	743		Yes	í	2	No	
Saionao (40%)												
Science (40%) All Students	468	659	71%	100%	481	619	78%	Yes	-7	-2	No	
African Amer	*	*	78%	*	*	*	75%	165	3	-2	NO	
Hispanic	227	372	61%	56%	234	332		Yes	-9	**	No	
White	230	270	85%	41%	238	276	86%	165	-1		NO	
Econ Disadv	129	241	54%	37%	128	186		Yes	-15	**	No	
** Met the min	imum size	requirement	t, but o	did not	meet the 70	0% floor	for Rec	ognize	i.			
STATE-DEVELOPED	ALTERNATT	VE ASSESSMI	ENT TT	(SDAA TI	TABLE							
STATE-DEVELOPED ALTERNATIVE ASSESSMENT									Requir			
ı		2007 -				2006			Improve	ement		
	# Tests		Pct	Stu	# Tests		Pct	Met				
SDAA II	Met	#	Met	Grp	Met	#	Met	Min	Act		Met	
Results (50%)	ARD	Tests	ARD	%	ARD	Tests	ARD	Size	Chg	RI	RI?	
All Students	277	337	82%	1009	293	326	90%		-8			
EXCEPTIONS TABL	E											
Number Msrs Evaluated	Number Allowed	Number Needed	Floor(s) Met?		Msr(s) Used in 2006?		Exceptions Applied					

August 2007 TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY PAGE 2

2007 DISTRICT ACCOUNTABILITY DATA TABLES - STANDARD PROCEDURES

DISTRICT NAME: TULOSO-MIDWAY

DISTRICT NUMBER: 178912 District Rating: Academically Acceptable

Analysis groups used to determine ratings are marked with an 'X'. Academically Acceptable standards are shown in parentheses. Grade 8 science results are not included because they are not used in the 2007 accountability system. Special formats ('*', >99%, <1%) are used to protect student confidentiality.

COMPLETION RATE I TABLE (Gr. 9-12) (75.0%)

									Required				
		Class	of 2006			Class	of 200	5		Improver	ment -		
	•				•	•						·	
					Stu				Met				
	# Com-	#	# in	Comp	Grp	# Com-	# in	Comp	Min	Act		Met	
	pleters	dropouts	Class	Rate	8	pleters	Class	Rate	Size	Chg	RI	RI?	
	_	-				_				_			
All Students	235	1	241	97.5%	100%	267	275	97.1%		0.4			
African Amer	*	*	*	*	0%	6	6	100.0%		*			
Hispanic	125	1	130	96.2%	54%	133	137	97.1%		-0.9			
White	108	0	109	99.1%	45%	127	131	96.9%		2.2			
Econ Disadv	56	0	60	93.3%	25%	74	79	93.7%		-0.4			

Decreases in completion rates may be due to significant changes in the dropout definition beginning with the 2005-06 school year.

Completion data not evaluated for your accountability rating due to small numbers or no data.

ANNUAL DROPOUT RATE TABLE (Gr. 7-8) (1.0%)

Stu # 7-8 Dropout Grp Dropouts Graders Rate 100% All Students 2 606 0.3% African Amer 0 11 0.0% 2% Hispanic 384 0.3% 63% White 209 34% 1 0.5% Econ Disadv

|-----|

252

0.4%

Due to definitional changes, Annual Dropout Rate Required Improvement is not calculated in 2007.

42%

Dropout data not evaluated for your accountability rating due to small numbers or no data.